

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,439 08/14/2003		08/14/2003	John H. Brophy	02-024	2458
34833	7590	02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
	ROSENBE		PASTERCZYK, JAMES W		
18 ECHO HILL LANE MORAGA, CA 94556				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,439	BROPHY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition</li></ol>	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	• •	s is
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Exames 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/14/03 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cores.	drawn from consideration.  for election requirement.  hiner.  accepted or b) objected in abeyance the drawing(s) be held in abeyancection is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
		10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			

Application/Control Number: 10/642,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 24, 28 and 32, drawn to a catalytic system which apparently is an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass various depending on the specifics of the remainder of the apparatus.
- II. Claims 2, 10, 12-23, 25-27, 29-31 and 33, drawn to a "method of converting a reactant to a product", classified in class 502, subclass 527.11 inter alia depending on the specifics of the catalyst used etc.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practice by another materially different apparatus or by hand, for instance simply in a beaker or an autoclave.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Frank Rosenberg, Esq., on 2/14/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,439

Art Unit: 1755

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

- 6. Figures 2 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. If these two figures are not in fact prior art, applicants are requested to point out where in the specification they are clearly asserted to be inventive, particularly since there are numerous prior art references to catalysts and cocatalysts covalently bonded to supports (figure 2), and sealed spectroscopy cells having flow-through features are conventional in the art of analytical chemistry (figure 3). This objection is made now for the sake of expediting prosecution.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,439

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID SAMPLE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

2/16/05